

ORIGINAL

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**FILED**  
SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT  
MAR 30 2015  
CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  
BY:                     T. RAY                    

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO – CENTRAL DIVISION**

BRIDGETTE BROWNING, et al.,  
*Plaintiffs,*

v.

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO,  
*Defendants.*

) Lead Case No.: 37-2012-00094831-CU-MC-CTL  
) [action filed March 29, 2012]

) IMAGED FILE

) **[PROPOSED]**  
) **FIRST AMENDED JUDGMENT**

) Consolidated with:

CITY OF SAN DIEGO,  
*Plaintiffs,*

v.

ALL PERSONS INTERESTED IN THE  
MATTER OF .....,  
*Defendants.*

) Case No. 37-2012-00097148-CU-MC-CTL  
) [action filed May 10, 2012]

COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE  
CONVENTION CENTER PLANNING, et al.,  
*Plaintiffs,*

v.

CITY OF SAN DIEGO,  
*Defendants.*

) Case No. 37-2012-00100333-CU-TT-CTL  
) [action filed July 10, 2012]

) All cases consolidated and  
) Assigned for all purposes to:

) Judge: Hon. Ronald S. Prager  
) Dept.: C-71

1 That certain Revised Validation Judgment having been entered in this consolidated  
2 proceeding on April 18, 2013;

3 That certain Revised Validation Judgment having been reversed by the Court of Appeal  
4 on August 1, 2014, with directions to this Court to enter judgment in favor of Defendants and  
5 Appellants Melvin Shapiro and San Diegans for Open Government and conduct any further  
6 necessary ancillary proceedings consistent with the Court of Appeal's opinion (the opinion being  
7 commonly known as *City of San Diego v. Shapiro*, 228 Cal. App. 4th 756 (2014)); and

8 The remittitur from the Court of Appeal having been issued on November 21, 2014,  
9

10 **IT IS NOW ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED** that:

11 1. The prior Judgment made and entered by this Court on April 18, 2013 is vacated  
12 in its entirety *nunc pro tunc*.

13 2. This Amended Judgment is entered in favor of Melvin Shapiro and San Diegans  
14 for Open Government and against the City of San Diego, with the latter taking nothing by way of  
15 and receiving no relief whatsoever pursuant to the Complaint.

16 3. Each of the resolutions and approvals of the City of San Diego, to the extent they  
17 relate to the districting, voting methodology, class of voters, and results of the voting as set forth  
18 and described in Plaintiff City of San Diego's Complaint for Validation of Convention Center  
19 Facilities District Special Tax and Authorizations ("Complaint"), are invalid and have no legal  
20 force or effect.

21 4. The special tax described in the Complaint is invalid and has no legal force or  
22 effect and the Notice of Special Tax Lien described in the Complaint, and as recorded as San  
23 Diego County Recorder's Office document no. 2012-0273917 is invalid and has no legal force or  
24 effect.


25 5. With timely appeal and Superior Court costs bills having been filed and no  
26 objection or motion to tax made, the Court awards: defendant Melvin Shapiro his costs on appeal  
27 in the amount of \$ 1482.27 and his Superior Court costs in the amount of \$ 1742.02, and  
28 awards defendant San Diegans for Open Government its costs on appeal in the amount of

1 \$ 2,652.41 and its Superior Court costs in the amount of \$ 738.75, both against and to  
2 be paid by the City of San Diego.

3 6. The Court reserves jurisdiction over the issue of attorney fees that may be  
4 awarded to the prevailing parties in this lawsuit until a motion therefore has been and ruled on.  
5

6 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

7 Date: MAR - 5 2015

  
Hon. Ronald S. Prager  
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28