1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, CENTRAL DIVISION 10 VOICE OF SAN DIEGO, a California Case No. 37-2018-00026433-CU-WM-CTL 11 corporation, [Consolidated with Case No. 37-2018-00027282-CU-MC-CTL Petitioner. 12 STIPULATED [PROPOSED] ORDER 13 REGARDING AUTOMATIC EMAIL ٧. **DELETION PROCEDURE** SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, AND DOES 1-10, inclusive, IMAGED FILE 15 Respondent. Judge: Hon. Ronald L. Styn 16 Dept.: C-74 Action Filed: May 30, 2018 17 Trial Date: Not set EXEMPT FROM FEES 18 AND RELATED CONSOLIDATED CASES GOVT. CODE § 6103 19 20 WHEREAS, Voice of San Diego ("Voice") filed a petition for writ of mandate against San 21 Diego Unified School District ("SDUSD") on May 30, 2018, in the Superior Court of the State of 22 California, County of San Diego, case number 37-2018-00026433-CU-WM-CTL, alleging causes 23 of action for violation of the California Public Records Act, declaratory relief, and injunctive 24 relief: 25 WHEREAS, San Diegans for Open Government ("SDOG") filed its complaint against 26 SDUSD on June 1, 2018, in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Diego, 27 case number 37-2018-00027282-CU-MC-CTL, alleging causes of action for violation of open-28 government laws, premature destruction of public/official records, and declaratory relief;

WHEREAS, the Superior Court, on stipulation of the parties, consolidated case number 37-2018-00027282-CU-MC-CTL with case number 37-2018-00026433-CU-WM-CTL on June 14, 2018, and designated 37-2018-00026433-CU-WM-CTL as the lead case. Case number 37-2018-00027282-CU-MC-CTL and case number 37-2018-00026433-CU-WM-CTL are collectively referred to herein as the "Action";

WHEREAS, Voice filed an *Ex Parte* Application for a Temporary Restraining Order and an Order to Show Cause Why a Preliminary Injunction Should Not Be Granted on May 31, 2018 ("Application for Preliminary Injunction");

WHEREAS, the Application for Preliminary Injunction sought an order enjoining SDUSD from implementing a proposed procedure to automatically delete all emails in its employees'

Outlook mailboxes older than one year, after providing its employees certain guidance and instructions;

WHEREAS, on June 8, 2018, SDOG also filed a motion for a preliminary injunction ("Injunction Motion) and joined in the Application for Preliminary Injunction;

WHEREAS, the Superior Court held a hearing on August 24, 2018 on the Application for Preliminary Injunction and Injunction Motion and issued an order on August 24, 2018 granting the requested preliminary injunction;

WHEREAS, on October 23, 2018, SDUSD filed a Notice of Appeal of the Court's August 24, 2018 Order granting the preliminary injunction;

WHEREAS, the Parties now desire to fully resolve and settle the claims alleged by Voice and SDOG challenging SDUSD's automatic email deletion procedure;

NOW THEREFORE,

The Parties hereby stipulate and request that the Court order as follows:

SDUSD shall be permitted to implement the procedure approved by the SDUSD Board of Education at its regularly scheduled July 25, 2017 meeting to automatically delete any non-archived emails in SDUSD employees' Outlook mailboxes, except that the procedure shall be modified so that only emails that are older than two years at the time of deletion may be automatically deleted (the "Automatic Deletion Procedure");

24

25

26

27

Notwithstanding the foregoing, SDUSD shall not automatically delete (1) any email that is identified as being subject to a litigation hold until such litigation hold expires or otherwise no longer applies to such email, or (2) any email that is identified as being subject to disclosure pursuant to a California Public Records Act request received by SDUSD until that email has been produced pursuant to such request;

Going forward, upon receipt of a proper California Public Records Act request seeking email records, in whole or in part, SDUSD shall, within a reasonable time, prevent responsive emails from being subject to the Automatic Deletion Procedure until after such email has been produced in response to the request, or reviewed and determined to be protected from disclosure;

For avoidance of doubt, this Stipulated Order applies only to automatic deletion procedures, and shall not prevent SDUSD employees from deleting email from their individual accounts in the ordinary course of business and in compliance with the law then in effect;

This Stipulated Order shall not be construed as permitting any SDUSD employee to delete any email he or she is required by law to retain;

Nothing in this Stipulated Order shall prevent SDUSD from amending the Automatic Deletion Procedure, or from implementing a new automatic deletion procedure, with a retention period longer than that provided for in this Order;

This Stipulated Order shall remain in effect for five (5) years;

SDOG shall withdraw its Public Records Act request for "Each and every WRITING that your agency may destroy (or allowed to be destroyed) prior to the third July 1st after the date of your last 'audit' as defined by section 16026 of Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations";

SDUSD shall pay a portion of SDOG's attorney's fees and costs incurred in the Action in the amount of \$20,000 (twenty-thousand dollars) within thirty (30) days of entry of this Order in full satisfaction of any obligation to pay attorney's fees and costs in this matter;

SDOG shall dismiss its Complaint in the Action and all claims alleged therein, with prejudice, within ten (10) days after receipt of the payment required by the preceding paragraph;

Voice shall be deemed to have dismissed, with prejudice, all claims related to SDUSD's retention of, or automatic deletion of, emails;

1	Dated: December 6, 2018 BRIGGS LAW COPORATION
2	Cony 1. Briggs
3	CORY J. BRIGGS
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff SAN DIEGANS FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT
6	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	DATED: December, 2018
9	
10	
11	Honorable Ronald L. Styn
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	·
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
2627	
28	
20	
	5 STIPULATED [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING AUTOMATIC EMAIL DELETION PROCEDURE

1

2

3 ||

5

4

6

7

8

. . .

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

PAUL, PLEVIN, SULLIVAN & CONNAUGHTON LEP

PROOF OF SERVICE

Voice of San Diego v. San Diego Unified School District Case No. 37-2018-00026433-CU-WM-CTL

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of San Diego, State of California. My business address is 101 West Broadway, Ninth Floor, San Diego, CA 92101-8285.

On December 7, 2018, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as **STIPULATED [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING AUTOMATIC EMAIL DELETION PROCEDURE** on the interested parties in this action as follows:

Felix Tinkov
Law Office of Felix Tinkov
225 Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: 619-862-1761
E-Mail: felix@tinkovlaw.com

Attorneys for Petitioner VOICE OF SAN DIEGO

Cory J. Briggs Anthony N. Kim

Briggs Law Corporation 99 East "C" Street, Suite 111 Upland, CA 91786

Telephone: 909-949-7115 Facsimile: 909-949-7121

E-Mail: cory@briggslawcorp.com anthony@briggslawcorp.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Petitioner SAN DIEGANS FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT

BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a scaled envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List, with postage thereon fully prepaid. I placed each such envelope or package for deposit with United States Postal Service, this same day, at my business address shown above, following ordinary business practices.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on December 7, 2018, at San Diego, California.

Deporah Baranowsk